Different responses of free-ranging wild guanacos (Lama guanicoe) to shearing operations: implications for better management practices in wildlife exploitation
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Abstract

In certain areas of South America, free-ranging, wild guanacos (Lama guanicoe) are exploited for fibre by local people. This activity includes the capture and handling of animals which can adversely affect their behaviour and physiology. This study investigated the behavioural and physiological responses of guanacos to shearing and handling activities in order to obtain a better picture of the welfare state of individuals. Parameters that were assessed consisted of: time enclosed; handling time; sex; age; and vital signs (heart beat frequency per minute, respiratory rate per minute, body temperature and body condition). Blood samples were also collected to measure serum cortisol levels and neutrophil/lymphocyte ratios. Frequencies of spitting, kicking, escape attempts and vocalisations were recorded as behaviours considered indicative of stress. Our results showed that stress behaviour frequencies were higher with increased handling time, whereas serum cortisol and N/L levels were higher when body condition scores were low. Handling time should be kept as short as possible to minimise individuals’ stress levels, particularly when body condition scores were low. Stress behaviour rates and serum cortisol levels were higher in juvenile compared to adult guanacos. Finally, both physiological measures of stress — serum cortisol concentrations and N/L ratios — were higher during the management activities of 2010 than in 2009, which may have been as a result of more inclement weather in 2010. When managing guanacos, it is important to consider both animal traits and previous environmental conditions and to avoid shearing juveniles and individuals with poor body condition scores if weather conditions are severe. These management recommendations are likely to improve animal welfare, facilitating sustainable management of this wild and emblematic species from the desert biomes of South America.
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Introduction

The economic exploitation of wild animals in a non-destructive manner might be a way of guaranteeing the long-term persistence of biodiversity (Gilles 1971, 1978; Chardonnet et al 2002). It can also be a solution, in certain circumstances, for promoting the recovery of eroded populations (Smith & Wishnie 2000). If the people managing the wildlife resource are locals, standing to benefit from said exploitation, then there is a greater likelihood of the management being sustainable (Primack 2002; Baldi et al 2010). In effect, the cost of losing the resource is deemed too big to risk jeopardising it. Yet, developing sustainable exploitation programmes for endangered species and eroded populations requires a sound scientific basis (Taylor & Dunstone 1996; Caro 1999a, b). By definition, exploitation of wildlife necessitates a degree of intervention by a population which may, in itself, already present a risk to the resource (Berger & Cunningham 1998; Williams et al 2002). However, the effects of such interventions on the wildlife remain poorly understood (Weber Nielsen & Bergfeld 2003). Wildlife management based on the welfare of the individual animal encompasses behaviour, physiology, husbandry and even the cultural background of the keepers and managers. The latter is related, among other things, to the discomfort and pain that could be caused directly by humans due to the capture system, manipulation or captivity (Bonacic & Gimpel 2006). Animal capture and handling affect both the