



MEDIA RELEASE

15 July 2020

Goat kids are not small calves and need to be treated differently, review concludes

Results point to a need to develop best practice guidelines for disbudding that are specifically for goat kids -

Limited scientific literature is available for developing 'best practice' guidelines for the management of dairy goats, with disbudding practices for goat kids and calves appearing to be similar. However, according to a recent review carried out by scientists in New Zealand and published in UFAW's scientific journal, *Animal Welfare* there is a real need for kids to be treated differently from calves.

There are welfare concerns relating to disbudding (removal of the horns) but this procedure is routinely carried out on both calves and goat kids to avoid injury to other animals, farm workers and damage to the animals' environment. Disbudding is performed on kids and calves at an age when the horn buds are evident, but before they attach to the underlying skull, with kids generally disbudded at a much younger age than calves. However, once the horns of goats have fused with the skull and a horn is clearly visible, disbudding is ineffective, and horns must be removed by amputation with either a saw or wire dehorning.

There are a variety of methods for disbudding, including the use of a hot cautery iron, applying caustic paste, injecting clove oil, and directing a pressurised spray of liquid nitrogen onto the horn bud (cryosurgical disbudding). The most commonly used method for both kids and calves is to use a hot cautery iron to either remove the ring of tissue containing the horn bud cells by cutting it to the bone and then forcibly flicking it off the head or burning the horn bud, but leaving it intact. This also appears to be the most effective method of preventing scur and horn growth, especially when the horn bud is fully removed. However, cautery disbudding is painful and, if performed by unskilled operators, can cause damage to the brains of goat kids due to the skull being thinner than a calf's.

The extensive review considered existing scientific literature, compared the disbudding methods for calves and kids, reviewed the behavioural and physiological responses of the two species to disbudding and identified alternatives to disbudding along with

SCIENCE IN THE SERVICE OF ANIMAL WELFARE

Chief Executive and Scientific Director: Huw Gollledge BSc PhD

Registered Charity No. 207996 (Registered in England) and Company Limited by Guarantee No. 579991



UNIVERSITIES FEDERATION FOR ANIMAL WELFARE

The Old School, Brewhouse Hill, Wheathampstead, Hertfordshire AL4 8AN, UK.

Tel: +44(0)1582 831818 Fax: +44(0)1582 831414

Website: <http://www.ufaw.org.uk>

Email: ufaw@ufaw.org.uk

refinements of current practices (eg pain management strategies).

The review concluded that the effect of iron temperature and application (eg how long the iron is applied, and how much pressure should be applied to remove the horn bud) deserved special consideration to reduce pain and injury, and to increase effectiveness. Pain and injury associated with disbudding could be eliminated by changing herd management to allow for horned goats, or breeding and farming polled (hornless) animals. The scientists also found that, based on the literature they reviewed, it appears that alternative disbudding methods, including caustic paste and cryosurgical disbudding, are more painful than cautery disbudding and may not be useful alternatives. Although clove oil injection appears to cause a similar experience of acute pain as cautery disbudding, the method (as currently applied) may cause longer-term inflammatory pain, and is ineffective at preventing horns and scurs; therefore clove oil injection may not be a viable alternative to cautery disbudding.

General anaesthesia and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs) can reduce pain during and following cautery disbudding of goat kids. However, local anaesthesia with lidocaine, as currently applied, does not appear to reliably reduce pain associated with disbudding of goat kids and the review therefore recommended that the effect of dosage, formulation and/or method of application (eg injected versus topical) should be investigated. Pain relief that is affordable, practical (eg easy to administer) and safe for both humans and the goats they manage is most likely to be adopted by farmers.

The journal paper's main author, Dr Melissa Hempstead, said: *"Until a less painful and efficacious alternative is realised, it appears that adapting cautery disbudding methods using pain mitigation is the best option currently available for farmed dairy goats. In order for the industry to establish best practice guidelines for disbudding goat kids, managers must recognise that goat kids are not small calves."*

-ENDS-

Further information

The abstract of the study can be read at UFAW's website <http://www.ufaw.org.uk/the-ufaw-journal/animal-welfare>.

If you wish to read the full paper, you can visit ingentaconnect.com to access the paper for \$25 (US) plus taxes.

<https://www.ingentaconnect.com/contentone/ufaw/aw/2020/00000029/00000003/art000>

SCIENCE IN THE SERVICE OF ANIMAL WELFARE



UNIVERSITIES FEDERATION FOR ANIMAL WELFARE

The Old School, Brewhouse Hill, Wheathampstead, Hertfordshire AL4 8AN, UK.

Tel: +44(0)1582 831818 Fax: +44(0)1582 831414

Website: <http://www.ufaw.org.uk>

Email: ufaw@ufaw.org.uk

06

Paper reference: Hempstead MN, Waas JR, Stewart M and Sutherland MA (2020) Goat kids are not small calves: Species comparisons in relation to disbudding
Animal Welfare 29(3): 293-312 **doi: 10.7120/09627286.29.3.293**

Those purchasing the paper or choosing to subscribe to the *Animal Welfare* journal will be supporting UFAW's work. <http://www.ufaw.org.uk/the-ufaw-journal/subscription-rates>

Note to editors:

The Universities Federation for Animal Welfare (UFAW) is an internationally recognised, independent scientific and educational animal welfare charity. It works to improve knowledge and understanding of animals' needs in order to achieve high standards of welfare for farm, companion, research, captive wild animals and those with which we interact in the wild.

UFAW improves animal welfare worldwide through its programme of awards, grants and scholarships; by educational initiatives, especially at university and college level; by providing information in books, videos, reports and in its scientific journal *Animal Welfare*; by providing expert advice to governments and others, including for legislation and 'best practice' guidelines and codes; and by working with animal keepers, scientists, vets, lawyers and all those who care about animals.

This work relies on the support of members, subscribers and donors.

SCIENCE IN THE SERVICE OF ANIMAL WELFARE

Chief Executive and Scientific Director: Huw Gollledge BSc PhD

Registered Charity No. 207996 (Registered in England) and Company Limited by Guarantee No. 579991