A bibliometric analysis of past and emergent trends in animal welfare science

R Freire* † and CJ Nicoll‡

Abstract

A bibliometric analysis was undertaken to chart the development of animal welfare (AW) science as a whole, and of the individuals, organisations and countries that have had most academic impact to date. Publication data were collected from the Web of Science for the year range 1968–2017 and by-hand pre-processing of the data was undertaken to identify reviews and original research articles on AW. VOSviewer was used to create bibliometric networks. There has been a 13.3% annual growth in AW publications in the last 50 years with Animal Welfare and Applied Animal Behaviour Science the most frequent publishers of AW publications. Farm animals continue to dominate the subject of AW research and comparison of network visualisations for five key species suggested possible gaps in the research, such as relatively little emphasis on emotion research for some farm animals and little research on inherited disorders in dogs. However, keyword analysis indicated a recent broadening of AW findings to include other international contexts, such as conservation and sustainability. Highly cited review articles were grouped into five clusters with affective state (ie emotions, moods) and fish welfare the most recent topics. Almost all core authors of original research articles study farm animals, though in the last ten years other topics, such as consumer attitudes and wildlife, have emerged as highly cited areas of original research articles. Network analysis of organisations revealed the University of Bristol, UK as the main publisher of original research articles. Citation analysis indicated that many low-cited articles were originating from Germany and were published in German journals, suggesting that many worthwhile results and opinions on AW may be being missed by other researchers due to a language barrier. Several limitations of bibliometric analysis to generate an overview of AW science were identified, including the challenge of how to search and extract all the relevant publications in this discipline. In conclusion, animal welfare science is still in an exponential phase of growth which will bring opportunities, such as for the publication of new journals, but also challenges. The insights generated by this study suggest bibliometric analysis to be a useful addition to other approaches investigating the trends and concepts of animal welfare.
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Introduction

There is a desire within many scientific fields to obtain an overview of the literature but, for diffuse subject areas such as animal welfare science where content is widely scattered, traditional review articles can present only part of an overall picture, and bibliometric analysis can be a useful complement (Ellegaard & Wallin 2015). Additionally, areas of research activity within disciplines can change with time and can vary between different geographical areas or where there are different social, political or economic drivers. This is particularly true for animal welfare science which is influenced by people’s views about, simply stated, what constitutes a good life for animals. Fraser (2008) suggests that people’s views can be roughly grouped into three main areas of concern: for the basic health and functioning of animals; for their mental state; and for their ability to live a natural life. Perspectives on animal welfare around the world also vary (Caporale et al 2005; Masiga & Munyua 2005; Rahman et al 2005), perhaps reflecting regional variations in people’s views. Although there have been attempts to reach a consensus on the scientific concept of animal welfare (eg Broom 1991; Fraser et al 1997), the above variation in views about animal welfare is at least partly responsible for fuelling considerable discussion on the research direction of the field of animal welfare science (Mason & Mendl 1993; Barnard & Hurst 1996; Fraser et al 1997). More recently, Mellor (2016) proposed that our understanding of animal welfare, and its definition, will change over time as ideas evolve so that current definitions and concepts will need to be revised or replaced. The fluid concept of animal welfare and its propensity to be influenced by people’s views raises the intriguing question of...